

**Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services  
Request for Proposal: OhioMHAS Evidence Based Programs for  
First Episode Psychosis**

**Factors and Criteria for Evaluation**

*(Proposals will be scored and point values given to the following identified criteria.)*

Applicant's Name:

Reviewer's Name:

<b>Mandatory Requirements</b>	<b>Meets</b>	<b>Does Not Meet</b>
Documentation of MHAS Certification in Good Standing for all of the following services: Pharmacological Management, Behavioral Health Counseling and Therapy, Community Psychiatric Supportive Treatment and Employment Services.		
Documentation of non-profit Status provided.		
Commitment to execute Agreements and Assurances if awarded.		

**Rating Scale:**

**0 – Does Not Meet.** Proposal does not comply with the requirement or does not meet expectations for the criterion.

**1 – Weak.** Proposal does not substantially comply with the requirement and/or does not substantially meet expectations for the criterion.

**2 – Moderate.** Proposal generally meets requirement, but is weak in meeting minimal expectations for the criterion.

**3 – Meets.** Proposal meets the requirement, and meets expectations for the criterion.

**4 – Strong.** Proposal meets the requirement, and exceeds expectations for the criterion.

**5 - Greatly Exceeds.** Proposal meets the requirement and greatly exceeds expectations for the criterion.

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating (0 – 5)</b>	<b>Total Score (WxR)</b>
<b>Proposal Requirements</b>			
<u>Population of Focus and Statement of Need:</u> Description of the project's region is supported by data demonstrating the need, including prevalence data; if applicable, describes a substantiated need given existing programs, and proposes reasonable plan for coordinating referrals; demonstrates history of serving target population (may include partner data); includes input from youth/young adult and family members	10		50
<u>Infrastructure Development and System Impact:</u> Demonstrates relationship with area ADAMHS boards committed to the project or reasonable plan to develop necessary relationships; identifies unmet needs and proposes reasonable plan to address; describes infrastructure and proposes reasonable plan to address gaps; proposes reasonable plans for	20		100

outreach, engagement, and development of referral network; identification of community partners and description of their role, and history of their work with this target population including youth/young adults and family members; proposes reasonable plan for sustainability, including utilization of third party payers; includes referral description for individuals not meeting enrollment criteria.			
<u>Project Description:</u> Project description includes assessment, transition planning, and referral, treatment and support services, and reflects understanding of the CSC model; defines a targeted caseload; demonstrates plan for coordination with primary care providers; demonstrates understanding and integration of culturally appropriate approaches; demonstrates implementation of prescription guidelines, or reasonable plan for implementation; provides a reasonable timeline, including activities, milestones, and responsible staff.	25		125
<u>Staff and Organizational Experience:</u> Proposal includes description of the team, including an adequate number and type of staff with appropriate experience, appropriate definition of roles; resumes for program director and team psychiatrist verify adequate credentials; team leadership is described; describes program development and implementation of services within six months; demonstrates capacity to implement a best practice, including specific components that address needs of target population; describes plan for working with third party payers and Medicaid; describes an outreach and marketing approach.	20		100
<u>Data Collection and Performance Measurement:</u> Describes the data collected and how outcomes support evaluation; demonstrates capacity to collect and analyze cost/reimbursement data; and applicant describes an understanding of the CSC fidelity criteria.	15		75
<b>Total Technical Score</b>	90		450
<b>Attachments</b>			
Letters of Support (board)			
Letters of Support (others)			
<b>Budget and Budget Narrative</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating (0 – 5)</b>	<b>Technical Score (WxR)</b>

Completed Budget form attached and proposes reasonable expenditure of funds; completed budget narrative submitted and aligns with budget form.	25		125
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>115</b>		<b>575</b>

Reviewer's Comments: