Overview

The Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services, Office of Quality, Planning, and Research (OMHAS-QPR) administered its annual mail survey to parents and guardians of child and adolescent consumers with serious emotional disturbances (SED) on their perception of care and treatment outcomes. Parents and guardians were queried between April 11 and June 9, 2017, using the Youth Services Survey for Families (YSS-F) instrument. Survey results are used for Mental Health Block Grant reporting requirements, to inform quality improvement initiatives, and to give stakeholders a direct indication of how parents and guardians of young consumers of mental health services in Ohio perceive their treatment, experience, and recovery in the public mental health system.

Methodology

The 2017 survey administration drew a random sample stratified by race and county/board type from the MACSIS/MITS billing database. A sample of 14,000 children and adolescents under age 18 who met criteria for serious emotional disturbance (SED) was drawn from a universe of 59,262 youth with SED who received services in the last two quarters of SFY 2016. The sample size for the youth service population was based on a power analysis for confidence intervals (CI) of +/-3 percent. Racial minorities in the child/adolescent population were over-sampled in an effort to obtain adequate representation.

A notification was sent in advance of the surveys to let recipients know they had been selected in the SFY 2017 administration of the sampling. Survey materials were mailed out in two waves, with a second resurvey of the sample at about four weeks. Survey participants were given the option of responding by mail with a pre-paid business envelope, by phone over the department’s toll-free line, or via an internet survey website.

Sampling Results

In the parent/guardian return sample, 12.0% (n = 1,680) of survey packets were returned as undeliverable mail. About .25% (n = 35) of respondents declined participation, and 76.6% (n = 10,721) of survey recipients did not respond by the survey deadline. A valid, completed survey was returned by 1,421 parent/guardians, or 11.5% of the sample that received a mail packet.
Sample Demographics

The child/adolescent consumer sample was 39.6% female (n = 563) and 60.4% male (n = 858). The gender distribution in the return sample was representative of the SFY 2016 child and adolescent sampling frame, where 41.1% were female and 58.9% were male. The mean age of the return sample was 12.1 years (SD = 3.4), which was no different statistically than the population mean age of 12.1 (SD = 3.5).

The return sample was 67.0% White (n = 952), and 26.3% African American (n = 374). Six and seven tenths percent (n = 95) were identified as other or unknown race. The racial distribution of the sample was not representative of the SFY 2016 sampling frame, where 63.9% were White, 29.5% were African American, and 6.5% were of other or unknown race. Figure 1 shows the racial distribution of the return sample. One and one tenth percent (n = 15) of the return sample was identified by one of several Hispanic/Latino ethnicities. The ethnic distribution of respondents was representative of the SFY 2016 sampling frame, where 1.0% were identified as Hispanic.

The return sample was grouped into five county/board types, with the percentage distributions as follows: Appalachian 17.7% (n = 252), Rural 8.0% (n = 113), Small City 13.2% (n = 187), Suburban 14.3% (n = 203), and Major Metropolitan 46.9% (n = 666). The return sample’s geographic distribution was not representative of the SFY 2016 sampling frame. Appalachian, Rural, Small City and Suburban board types were over-represented in the return sample, while Metropolitan board types were under-represented.

Eighty-nine percent (n = 1265) of the sample had received services in the prior fiscal year. Respondents who received services in SFY 2015 and 2016 were considered “long term,” and those who only received services in SYF 2016 (10.9%; n = 155) were classified as “short term.”

Other Characteristics of the Sample

Twenty-two and two tenths percent (n = 315) of the sample indicated the child was not receiving services at the time of the survey, and 3.4% (n = 49) said the child was no longer living at home. Among 155 short-term consumers, 5.8% (n = 9) reported police involvement over a 24-month period. Of 1265 long-term consumers, 7.4% (n = 93) reported police involvement over the same time period. Among the 155 short-term consumers, 16.1% (n = 25) reported a suspension or expulsion in the 24 months prior to survey administration. Of the 1,265 long-term consumers, 26.2% (n = 332) had had a school suspension or expulsion during the same time period.

Instrumentation

The content of subscales in the YSS-F instrument is unique to the child and adolescent mental health population. (See Table 1 for items in the seven subscale domains.) Items in a subscale are summed and divided by the total number of items, and scores greater than 3.5 are reported in the positive percent of responses range. Cases with subscales where more than one-third of items are missing are dropped from the final analysis. A copy of the YSS-F instrument with questions linked to each item number is located at the end this report.

Table 1. YSS-F Subscale Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YSS-F Subscale</th>
<th>Survey Item Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriateness</td>
<td>1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and Quality</td>
<td>8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Sensitivity</td>
<td>12, 13, 14, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Engagement</td>
<td>2, 3, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning</td>
<td>16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Social Connectedness</td>
<td>23, 24, 25, 26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Perception of Care Subscales

Figure 2 shows four years’ results on the four YSS-F Perception of Care subscales: Cultural Sensitivity, Treatment Engagement, Access and Quality and Appropriateness. Results for SFY 2014 are shown by the blue bars, SFY 2015 by the red, SFY 2016 by the green, and SFY 2017 by the purple. The “I” bars at the top of each subscale bar indicate the +/-3 percent margin of error (MOE) for each year’s results on the four subscales. The MOE bars over four years on all the scales can be said to overlap. Within each subscale, the top of one year’s MOE bar does not drop below the bottom of another year’s MOE bar. This indicates that from one year to the next, there is not a statistically significant difference in the positive percentages reported for each subscale. Figure 2 also shows consistent variation between the four subscales, with Cultural Sensitivity ranked highest and Quality/Appropriateness ranked lowest across time.
Figure 3 shows three year’s results on the YSS-F’s three outcome subscales: Caregiver Social Connectedness, Outcomes, and Functioning. SFY 2014 results are shown by the blue, SFY 2015 by the red, SFY 2016 by the green, and SFY 2017 by the purple bars. The MOE bars for the Functioning subscale are overlapping across all four years, indicating that there is not a statistically significant difference in the variation across time. The MOE bars for the Outcomes subscale overlap between SFY 2014 and 2015, between SFY 2014 and 2016, and between SFY 2015 and 2017. However, they do not overlap between SFY 2015 and 2016 or between SFY 2016 and 2017. At this point, there is not an annual upward or downward trend. Finally, the MOE bars for the Family Social Connectedness subscale overlap in SFY 2015, 2016, and 2017, but differ significantly from SFY 2014. This suggests a significant three-year downward trend on the measure of Family Social Connectedness.
Limitations

While oversampling the service population assures there will be enough completed surveys for +/-3 percentage points in the confidence intervals of the scales, the low return rate of 11.5% raises questions about the overall representativeness of the sample. The problem of a low return rate can be controlled somewhat when stratification groups in the sample are representative of the population, but in the case of the SFY 2016 survey, racial and geographic groups were not representative. On the other hand, the gender and age distributions in the survey sample are representative of the service population. Results may not be generalizable to the population due to potential biases in the sample.

Discussion

Overall, the SFY 2017 scores for the perception of care subscales increased from those of SFY 2016. The downward trend that was noted last year on three of the perception of care subscales (Cultural Sensitivity, Access, and Quality/Appropriateness) did not continue this year. The SFY 2017 Treatment Outcomes subscales of Outcomes and Functioning also saw an increase in scores as compared to SFY 2016. Where the measure of Social Connectedness is concerned, there appears to be a significant three-year downward trend in the parent/guardian perceptions of their families’ social support networks. It remains to be seen whether this trend is an artifact of sampling error or a valid indicator of increasing social isolation among families of child/adolescent consumers. A similar downward trend in SFY 2015-2017 is also seen in the adult consumers’ perceptions of their social support networks. (See SFY 2017 Adult Consumer Survey Results.) Adult consumer social connectedness on the MHSIP is a measure of support to the individual, while the YSS-F measures social connectedness of the caregiver. Stigma is a common experience of both the individual and the caregiver that might explain similar downward patterns in social connectedness.
Please help the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (OhioMHAS) make services better by answering some questions about the services your child received OVER THE LAST 6 MONTHS. If your child has received services from more than one mental health provider, choose the one you think of as the main or primary provider. Please indicate if you Strongly Agree, Agree, are Undecided, Disagree, or Strongly Disagree with each of the statements. Fill in or put a cross (X) in the circle that best describes your answer. Thank you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall, I am satisfied with the services my child received</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I helped to choose my child's services</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I helped to choose my child's treatment goals</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The people helping my child stuck with us no matter what</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I felt my child had someone to talk to when he/she was troubled</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I participated in my child's treatment</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services my child and/or family received were right for us</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The location of services was convenient for us</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services were available at times that were convenient for us</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My family got the help we wanted for my child</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My family got as much help as we needed for my child</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff treated me with respect</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff respected my family's religious/spiritual beliefs</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff spoke with me in a way that I understood</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff were sensitive to my cultural/ethnic background</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of the services my child and/or family received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Undecided</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My child is better at handling daily life</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My child gets along better with family members</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My child gets along better with friends and other people</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My child is doing better in school and/or work</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue on the back of this sheet...
As a result of the services my child/family received:

20. My child is better able to cope when things go wrong...
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

21. I am satisfied with my family life right now .................
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

22. My child is better able to do the things he or she wants to do...
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

Please answer for relationships with persons other than your mental health provider(s)

23. I know people who will listen and understand me when I need to talk.
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

24. I have people I'm comfortable talking with about my child's problems.
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

25. In a crisis, I would have the support I need from family and friends.
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

26. I have people with whom I can do enjoyable things.
   - Strongly Agree: 0
   - Agree: 0
   - Undecided: 0
   - Disagree: 0
   - Strongly Disagree: 0

27. Is your child currently living with you?.......................... O Yes  O No
28. Does your child currently receive mental health services? .......... O Yes  O No
29. Was your child arrested in the last 12 months?...................... O Yes  O No
30. Was your child arrested during the 12 months prior to that?...... O Yes  O No
31. Over the last year, have encounters with the police:
   - Been reduced. Child hasn't been arrested, hassled by police or escorted to a shelter or crisis program.
   - Stayed the same.
   - Increased.
   - Not applicable. There were no police encounters this year or last.
32. Was your child expelled or suspended in the last 12 months?..... O Yes  O No
33. Was your child expelled or suspended during the 12 months prior to that? O Yes  O No
34. Over the last year, the number of days my child was in school is:
   - Greater.
   - Less.
   - About the same.
   - Does not apply.

Thank You for Participating!
Citation: