


NOMs and Performance Measurement



Planning and Development Timeline:
2009-2014

2009: New MH Outcomes System

Guiding Principles

- Align Ohio outcomes with SAMHSA NOMs
- Reduce administrative burden to providers



- Address gaps in MH client information system
- Collaborate with ODADAS
- Get stakeholder feedback

National Outcome Measures (NOMs)

- FY 2010 ODMH obtained SAMHSA grant
 - Agreed to report 3 NOMs with client-level files similar to TEDS
 - Living Situation
 - Employment /School Success
 - Criminal Justice Involvement
 - Agreed to report 4 NOMs with MHSIP/YSS-F survey
 - Perception of care Scale
 - Quality of Life (Outcomes) Scale
 - Social Connectedness Scale
 - 8-item Functioning Scale


All these NOMs are
same for MH & AOD

These NOMs are post-hoc
(no pre-post)

Survey Level Reporting: 2011-2013

Random, stratified statewide sampling

MHSIP Percent of Positive Subscale Scores: 2011-2013†



†MHSIP subscale score calculations have been standardized across three years for comparability.

Client-level Reporting 2010 - 2012


Development of SMD/SED Record

- Build on to OH BH Reporting System
- Focus on Priority Population – SMD/SED
 - Reduce burden by collecting only SMD/SED records
 - Operationalize SMD/SED using GAF or Provider designation
 - Support Integrated Care with collection of Physical Health measures – Biomarkers

2012-2013

Piloting the SMD/SED Record

- Grants supporting 9 providers in 6 board areas
- Primary Goal: Identify data collection and reporting problems
- Other objectives--Evaluation & QI reports--suspended
 - elevation of Medicaid to state
 - OHBH linked to MACSIS, not MITS
 - consolidation of ODMH & ODADAS
 - creation of LeanOhio OHBH workgroup
- Lessons Learned



2013: LeanOhio OHBH Workgroup

- Met at request of OhioMHAS Director between 8/13 and 12/13
- Provider and Board representatives
- Staffs with Offices of Planning, Quality & Research and Information Services
- Recommendations for consolidated approach
 - to outcomes and performance management
 - to collection, reporting and use of BH information

2014: Performance Measurement

- Recommended MH performance measures:
 - % receiving OP follow-up 30 days after hospitalization
 - % receiving second service within 90 days of assessment (new intake)
 - Average length of time between assessment and second service
 - % employed
- Workgroup made up of stakeholders to assist with operationalization and benchmarking